

**DR RICH MCLEAN THE POLITICAL MECHANICS OF CHARACTER  
ASSASSINATION AND MURDER, ESSAY. Published 30.09.2023.**

**Congratulations, you hurt me. It's what you wanted. It's been cruel, its been malicious, its been intentional, its been unfair. Id already had all this happen to me:**

**Ive been drugged and raped, beaten up, violently attacked, sexualised as a kid, followed, been surveilled, set up to fail, robbed, treated with contempt, a victim of hate, targeted, identified, vilified, victimised, oppressed, force injected forty 'ingrained delusions ofpeersecution' that are entirely factual, medically experimented on, told I have HIV, rejected life saving medication, gang stalked, gaslit, lied to, discriminated against, fired, ripped off, my dog attacked, run over by a car, violently attacked inside a hospital by a not so undercover thug who was placed there to assault me, banned from doctors surgeries, rejected medical treatment, had my door kicked in, tazered, cuffed and put in a divvy van, chased, refused medicine for preventable diseases, ran out of town by police, oppressed to the point of killing myself, been revived by accidentally being found, called difficult, ostracised, neglected, not welcome by fame, AVO's against me, drugged, deemed dangerous, labelled a rapist, pedophile, and extortionist, told I'm 'doomed to fail' by a magistrate, refused service, fired, vilified, publicly humiliated, character assassinated. I've had enough.**

**I still worked for thirty years advocating for marginalised people and their carers all over this country in local state national and even international audiences. Ive appeared across TV and radio and spoken at conferences key note speeches and even inside Australian parliament. Nowthis is the reality that has permeated every fibre of my life:**

I, Dr. Rich McLean, an Australian queer artist, author, activist, advocate, and academic, find myself in an exceptionally dire situation. Homeless and without financial resources, I am struggling to secure legal representation and facing insurmountable obstacles as a whistleblower who was tragically unsuccessful in exposing wrongdoing. My efforts to report crimes such as me being drugged and raped to the police have also been thwarted. Compounding this challenge are my mental illnesses, including schizophrenia, ADHD, adjustment disorder, and a cognitive brain impairment stemming from a suicide attempt from which I was miraculously revived. It was deemed 'lethal' in the Weribee mercy Hospital FOI. Now the tragedy is whitewashed up to and including the ombudsman. What makes this situation especially cruel is the exploitation of my perceived vulnerability due to my mental health history, which is widely known because of my autobiography. My own book has been weaponized against me, exploiting a perceived vulnerability that has led to my victimization and vilification, as exemplified by my illegal dismissal from The Age after being targeted by The Herald Sun.

I was researching information about the methods an individual or government might employ to destroy a scapegoat. Regrettably, I recognized that I have experienced all of these tactics with malicious intent and I prove it on this page. The following list outlines these methods, each of which has been used against me systematically, and I will provide specific examples in the subsequent essay:

- Character Assassination: My character has been relentlessly attacked and tarnished through various means
- Isolation: I have been isolated from support systems, making me more vulnerable
- Gaslighting: Instances of manipulation and deceit have been used to make me doubt my own reality.

- **Legal Persecution:** I've faced numerous legal challenges, often without proper representation.
- **Financial Ruin:** Deliberate actions have led to significant financial losses and hardship.
- **Harassment and Intimidation:** I've been subjected to harassment and intimidation tactics.
- **Surveillance:** Instances of intrusive surveillance have been used to monitor my activities.
- **Institutional Complicity:** Some institutions have been complicit in my victimization.
- **Silencing Dissent:** Efforts have been made to silence my voice and suppress my advocacy.
- **Propaganda and Disinformation:** False narratives and disinformation campaigns have been used against me.
- **Legal Barriers:** Obstacles within the legal system have impeded my pursuit of justice.

In the forthcoming essay, I will provide detailed examples of how each of these tactics has been deployed against me with malicious intent. My hope is that by shedding light on these injustices, I can garner the support and acknowledgment necessary to address my current predicament.

**Tyranny has become the law in Australia so resistance becomes my duty.**

The intricate web of circumstances surrounding my life has created a mosaic of compelling evidence that is increasingly difficult to disregard as mere coincidence. From my former partner's association with ASIO, a government intelligence agency, to the Office of the Prime Minister's refusal to address my voluminous and complex Freedom of Information request, and the repeated rejection of my Public Interest Disclosures, despite the irrefutable evidence of my status as a "public official," these events collectively raise serious concerns of a concerted effort to suppress the truth.

Speaking of suppression, my Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter, and eBay accounts have been deleted or blocked. The significant financial losses I've suffered, coupled with the absence of legal representation throughout my arduous journey, further deepen the suspicion of a systemic conspiracy against me. The fact that I've been unable to report a heinous crime, my documented human rights abuses have been summarily dismissed by the Australian Human Rights Commission, and that I've endured a harrowing suicide attempt that was subsequently whitewashed all point to a disturbing pattern of injustice.

The Prime Minister replies to your long letter with factual crimes and corruption but refers you to the Attorney General. He has never acknowledged your emails or phone calls but his office refers you to AGIS and the Ombudsman. However, AGIS already knows about your issue, and the Ombudsman has rejected your PID and now refuses all correspondence. This means you've been excommunicated from the powers that be.

Add to this the malicious destruction of my business website, its emails and backups, the involvement of influential legal figures who've silenced my evidence at HCC, MHCC, The Police, The Victorian Inspectorate, AHPRA, NHPOPC, IBAC, the ombudsman, the unjust, obviously predetermined, and illegal rulings on my work cover claims, my ban from the Australian Financial Complaints Authority, the denial of my HCF income assistance insurance, and the imposition of an apprehended violence order to obstruct my communication, and the evidence becomes overwhelmingly suggestive of a deliberate effort to oppress and silence me.

Despite my well-established history as a human rights advocate and mental health campaigner, I continue to face vilification and adversity, necessitating urgent

acknowledgment and redress of these issues to ensure justice, safeguard my well-being, and prevent further harm to my life and safety.

Ibrahim, the head of Personalised Support Services, I need to address a critical situation with you. You previously assured me a safe place to stay temporarily until I could secure long-term accommodation. Unfortunately, that promise has been broken, and I now face eviction within a week. It seems that another family with more financial resources may be prioritized over me, despite my ongoing struggles with homelessness and lack of support.

To provide some context, my supported independent living package (SILS) was not granted as expected. An Occupational Therapist's assessment concluded that I needed only 27 hours of support work for an entire year, a shockingly inadequate amount for my needs. This assessment appears to have been manipulated, resulting in minimal funding for my support.

The absence of SILS funding and housing support has made me less valuable to your organization. Efforts have been made to secure a SILS package, but I believe it will not materialize due to what I perceive as a conspiracy against me.

My interactions with the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) have been distressing. My Participant Incident Report (PID) was rejected, and I was subjected to police involvement, leading to my forced incarceration for two weeks. The Health Minister has been unresponsive to my pleas for intervention, leaving me with the belief that my SILS package is destined to fail, further exacerbating my homelessness.

I have offered to repay you the money I owe for my stay and provide a bonus in exchange for your acknowledgment of the human rights abuses documented by your employee, Tash. This acknowledgment could initiate an investigation into my situation and provide you with compensation. However, your lack of response to these obligations as my NDIS provider troubles me deeply.

Ibrahim, I implore you to consider the consequences of neglecting to report my human rights abuses or acknowledge the conspiracy I have outlined. By doing so, you risk becoming

complicit in my victimization and acting unethically. I understand the challenges you may face, but my well-being and safety are at stake.

Ibrahim, I am deeply concerned and seek your commitment to doing what is right. It is unfair and cruel to ignore my pleas, as this refusal feels like a form of psychological torture. I ask you to share this situation with someone who can provide acknowledgment and assistance. My hope lies in someone willing to listen and take action

I can unequivocally confirm that that suicide attempt, which was chillingly categorized as "fatal" in the Werribee Mercy Hospital's Freedom of Information (FOI) records, was not a product of my mental illness. Rather, it was a meticulously orchestrated act intended to harm me deeply, facilitated through a malevolent campaign of vilification. Numerous individuals, including public officials, actively conspired in this scheme to strip me of my financial resources and legal rights, rendering me destitute and isolated and my action was in protest. There is now another conspiracy to whitewash the tragedy and also the liability where the hospital owed me a duty of care.

Regrettably, mental health professionals were aware of this conspiracy, as I had confided in them and presented evidence of it. My self-destructive spiral was a direct consequence of a system that systematically drained my financial resources, revoked my legal rights, engendered mental distress by attacking my livelihood, and deliberately ignored my existence. I was subsequently isolated from individuals who were also cognizant of my plight. Three years after this tragic event, I remain unemployed and trapped in a meticulously engineered cycle of poverty. I continue to be victimized, not only by the system but also by those who were entrusted with my care during my hospitalization, who have since become my abusers.

The circumstances I find myself in are not merely personal; they are part of a systemic and politically motivated pattern of targeting. This campaign of oppression has persisted for years and has led to severe violations of my human rights and dignity. Regrettably, it has been an arduous journey to find someone willing to acknowledge the extent of my suffering. When any person or politician reads this it would be impossible to come to any other conclusion than a systemic and politicised conspiracy that victimises me and has redacted all my prosperity.

Despite my efforts, my documented human rights abuses, as cataloged by my NDIS (National Disability Insurance Scheme) worker, remain unacknowledged by both Free Living Australia and Personalised Support Services my providers which refuses to sign off on this crucial documentation. Additionally, the Australian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) has refused to investigate my case, adding to my frustration and sense of helplessness.

It is morally unacceptable for a professional tasked with my care not to report a human rights abuse that occurred under their watch. I have implored Ibrahim of Personalised Support Services to do so. I currently reside in his house without paying rent, thanks to his charitable gesture, after I was hospitalized and lost my home. Initially, he had indicated that I could stay until permanent accommodation was secured, but the situation has evolved, and he has informed me that I must vacate the premises by Friday, 6th.

It is imperative for NDIS (National Disability Insurance Scheme) providers to adhere to a code of conduct that upholds the rights, safety, and well-being of participants. Failure to report a well-documented human rights abuse by one of their employees represents a significant violation of this code of conduct and raises several critical issues:

- **Responsibility to Protect Participants:** NDIS providers have a fundamental responsibility to ensure the safety and welfare of participants in their care. This responsibility includes promptly addressing and reporting any instances of human rights abuse or harm to participants.
- **Ethical Obligation:** Ethically, it is incumbent upon NDIS providers to act in the best interests of their clients. This includes taking appropriate action when they become aware of any wrongdoing or harm experienced by participants.
- **Deceit and Injustice:** By not reporting a well-known human rights abuse that has been documented by one of their employees, an NDIS provider may be perceived as intentionally deceitful. This failure to report not only disregards the participant's reality and suffering but also perpetuates an injustice against them. It implies that the provider is willing to prioritize their own interests over the well-being of the participant.
- **Loss of Trust and Accreditation:** Failing to report such serious misconduct can erode the trust that participants place in their NDIS providers. It can also have severe consequences for the provider's reputation and accreditation. Accreditation bodies and regulatory authorities may view this behavior as a breach of ethical and legal obligations, potentially leading to sanctions, loss of accreditation, or even legal action against the provider.
- **Lack of Accountability:** Participants should have avenues to address grievances and report injustices or crimes related to their care. When providers refuse to report



documented abuses, they effectively deny participants this vital recourse and hinder the pursuit of justice.

In summary, it is not only a moral but also a legal and ethical obligation for NDIS providers to report and address human rights abuses within their purview. Failure to do so not only compromises the well-being of participants but also puts the provider's reputation and accreditation at risk. It is essential for providers to prioritize the rights and safety of participants and to fulfill their ethical and legal responsibilities as caretakers in the disability support sector.

Upon a thorough examination of this page, accompanied by the extensive evidence supporting the claims made, it becomes abundantly clear that a tyrannical government conspiracy is undeniably at play. The evidence presented paints a troubling picture of systemic misconduct and intentional deceit by government agencies and individuals.

For example, the Office of the Prime Minister and Cabinet (OPMC) initially acknowledged the complexity and volume of your Freedom of Information (FOI) request, only to later deny the existence of the requested documents. This contradictory stance by OPMC is not only factually incorrect but also raises serious concerns about transparency and accountability within the government.

Furthermore, despite being a democratic citizen with a clean criminal record, you have been unjustly banned from the Australian Financial Complaints Authority (AFCA), resulting in significant financial detriment. This raises fundamental questions about access to justice and fair treatment within the financial system.

Additionally, the Australian Human Rights Commission's (AHRC) refusal to investigate your well-documented human rights abuses, despite being tasked with upholding such rights, is deeply troubling and contradictory to their mandate.

The involvement of a powerful lawyer, Russell Ball, who openly advertises his influence over government policy and advises the Ombudsman, in representing a GP you had filed a malpractice complaint against in 2017, raises concerns about potential conflicts of interest and impartiality within the legal system.

Your rejected Public Interest Disclosure (PID) from the Commonwealth Ombudsman, along with their refusal to engage in further correspondence, underscores the significant barriers and challenges you have faced in seeking justice and accountability.

Perhaps most troubling is the fact that your former partner of five years, to whom you were engaged, was an employee of ASIO. Despite this, no government agency will acknowledge the existence of your relationship, which is vital for your claim of an equitable split of assets and equity, considering the exploitation you endured during your time together and his substantial wealth. This lack of acknowledgment by government entities, including Centrelink, ASIC, AGIS, the Commonwealth Ombudsman, the OPMC, the Prime Minister's office, AFCA, AHRC, and the Tax Office, points to a cover-up at the highest levels of government.

This cover-up, along with the influence wielded by your former partner and the lawyer, has had a profoundly detrimental effect on your victimization, as it has effectively denied you access to the law and equality before the law. Such actions stand in direct contradiction to the Charter of Human Rights of a Person with a Disability, which the government ratified in 2008, further emphasizing the disturbing nature of this conspiracy.

I am eligible to make a Protected Disclosure (PID) for the following compelling reasons:

1. **Work as a Nurse in a Public Hospital Setting:** I have a history of employment as a nurse in a public hospital setting, specifically with the North West Area Mental Health Service under the Royal Melbourne Hospital. This role establishes my status as a public official, as I served within a publicly funded healthcare institution.
2. **Federal Court Confirmation:** The Federal Court has formally recognized my employment status based on the evidence I provided to them. Their official statement, issued on a Federal Court letterhead and signed by an authorized PID officer, confirms my employment as an individual associated with the Department of Social Services (DSS). This confirmation is not open to interpretation or dilution—it stands as an official statement and deems me a public official.
3. **Engagement to a Public Official:** I was engaged to Stefan Iasonidis for a substantial period, lasting five years. Our cohabitation and engagement were widely known, solidifying my status as a family member of the intelligence community. In this capacity, I am eligible to make a PID.
4. **Employment under a Government Contract:** I was gainfully employed to work at the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) under a government contract. This employment arrangement explicitly classifies me as a public official, as individuals providing services under a Commonwealth contract are qualified and eligible to make disclosures pertaining to disclosable conduct.

These factors collectively validate my eligibility to make a PID. They establish my association with various public roles and institutions, each carrying its own set of

responsibilities and accountabilities. My eligibility rests upon these diverse experiences and affiliations within the public sector, emphasizing the importance of my ability to raise concerns related to disclosable conduct and the preservation of ethical standards within these domains.

I've encountered numerous challenges while attempting to make Protected Disclosures (PIDs), and I find myself in a frustrating and disheartening situation. Several government agencies, including the Attorney General's Department, the Office of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, the Health Department, the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS), PARAs, ASIC, and the Commonwealth Ombudsman, have all rejected my PIDs despite my eligibility to call out disclosable conduct by public officials.

In my pursuit of justice and accountability, the Department of Social Services (DSS) also initially rejected my PID on the grounds that I was never a public official. However, I contested this decision with compelling evidence demonstrating that I indeed held such a status in the past. It took weeks of effort to provide this evidence to the authorized officer, and on Friday, September 22nd, I received acknowledgment that they had received it. Now, I stand at a crucial juncture, with one of two potential outcomes looming.

The first scenario is that my PID will be accepted, granting me the protection I deserve and triggering a thorough investigation into the issues I've raised. This would represent a significant step toward accountability and justice.

The second scenario is more troubling. Despite the acknowledgment of my eligibility as a public official, there's a concern that the authorities may still find a way to deny my PID.

After years of enduring gaslighting, neglect, pre-determined decisions, and what appears to be corrupt conduct by politicians, lawyers, and government agency employees, I am not entirely surprised by the possibility that the authorized officer may choose not to respond. Such an outcome would be a grave miscarriage of justice and would further underscore the systemic and politicized conspiracy that I have been highlighting.

In this difficult and frustrating situation, I continue to advocate for transparency, accountability, and fairness in the handling of my PID and the resolution of my concerns. My persistence and determination to seek justice remain steadfast, and I believe that it is crucial for the system to fulfill its duty to thoroughly investigate and address the issues I've raised.

#### The destruction of my business

In September 2021, I received an email from James Braunegg, the Managing Director of Micron21, a web hosting company that hosted my business and personal website, [www.richmclean.com.au](http://www.richmclean.com.au). The email was abrupt, short, and unexpected. It informed me that my ideas were not appreciated, referring to what he called my "conspiratorial ideas." To my shock, the entire website, which I had spent twenty years perfecting and which also served as my registered business name and ABN, was immediately destroyed. All the emails and backups associated with the website were deleted as well. Braunegg claimed that I hadn't been paying for their services, which was untrue as I had a direct debit set up for payments.

Prior to this incident, I had complained about issues with my emails not being delivered or authenticated. I also suspected that my Micron21 password had been tampered with on my home computer and had raised security concerns with them. The destruction of my website was a direct attack on me personally because it was well-known that the website was the foundation of my business and how I earned a living. Micron21 was aware of my mental illness as my autobiography was hosted on the site.

I attempted to protest this destructive act and the attack on my business's intellectual property and digital identity by reaching out to various entities, including business.gov, ASIC (where my business was registered), the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman, and the SFEBO Ombudsman. I even consulted with some lawyers, but none of them were willing to intervene. I then turned to the Chamber of Commerce Business Support Hotline, but they failed to take any meaningful action against the manager and Micron21.

It's worth noting that I had published a whistleblower statement on the website, and years later, when I tried to access the historical page through an archive, I discovered that it had been deleted. This deletion serves as evidence that those in power did not want the website or its whistleblowing evidence to remain accessible, and they intentionally removed the archives. Micron21, being linked to the government, likely received orders to destroy the website. I believe this was an attempt to suppress my achievements and successes, preventing people from seeing the evidence adjacent to my accomplishments, which would have garnered positive attention for my cause.

This destructive act was carried out with impunity, and I found myself without any avenue to seek justice. The website hosted not only my business but also the architecture of my email system. Its destruction left me unable to send invoices, log into websites, access banks or government departments, and affected my accounts on social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and LinkedIn. Furthermore, I had two-factor authentication enabled for added security. Losing access to my email system meant that if I forgot my password, I would be locked out of various sites essential for both business and personal use.

James Braunegg was well aware of the critical importance of the website for me and my business. While I was in the hospital recovering from a suicide attempt, I distinctly recall having to request additional server space to receive emails, which was granted without any issues. Given these circumstances, receiving an email stating that I had not been paying for services was not only false but also deeply concerning. I possess evidence of the direct debit arrangement I had with Micron21.

To add to the complexity of the situation, there were instances where anonymous users were logging into my domain name, and I had raised concerns about this unauthorized access.

On another occasion, I caught one of Micron21's employees accessing my domain server to delete emails, ostensibly to help me. However, this employee should not have had access to my personalized and private server and information. It was evident that staff members had changed the password, possibly even remotely, on my home computer.

Overall, this incident was a significant blow to my business and digital identity, and despite my efforts to seek redress, it remained unresolved.

### Pre-determined outcomes for financial settlements

Over the years, I have pursued a multitude of settlements and claims, each reflecting my relentless quest for justice and compensation in the face of daunting challenges and adversities. These various endeavors encompass a wide range of issues and events, all of which have significantly impacted my life. Despite my unwavering determination, these pursuits have often been marked by pre-determined outcomes and the absence of legal representation, making it increasingly difficult for me to achieve the justice I seek.

1. Unfair Termination Settlement (2004): My journey began with a pursuit related to an unfair termination from The Age newspaper in 2004.
2. Work Cover Settlement (2004): I also sought a settlement related to a Work Cover case from 2004, stemming from workplace injuries and compensation.

3. **Incorrect TPD Payment (2008):** In 2008, I encountered issues with an incorrect Total and Permanent Disability (TPD) payment, prompting my efforts to correct this discrepancy.
4. **Medical Malpractice Settlement (2017):** A significant part of my pursuit involved seeking a settlement for a medical malpractice case in 2017, highlighting the complexities of navigating the healthcare system.
5. **HCF Income Assist Settlement (2021):** My quest for justice extended to addressing HCF income assistance in 2021, where I faced hurdles related to financial support.
6. **Work Cover Settlement (2021):** Another facet of my ongoing struggle involved pursuing a Work Cover settlement in 2021, focusing on workplace injuries and compensation.
7. **Provisional Payments from Work Cover (2021):** In the same year, I received provisional payments from Work Cover, illustrating the intricate nature of workplace injury claims.
8. **Business Insurance Payout (2021):** Additionally, I embarked on a journey to secure a business insurance payout in 2021, highlighting the challenges associated with financial claims.
9. **Former Partner Settlement:** My pursuit of justice also extended to seeking a settlement related to my former partner, further complicating my legal journey.
10. **Settlement for Cognitive Brain Impairment:** I endeavored to secure a settlement for a cognitive brain impairment sustained inside a hospital, where a duty of care was owed.
11. **Website Maliciously Destroyed:** My pursuit included a claim for the malicious destruction of my business website, shedding light on the challenges of addressing online issues.



12. **Loss After Possessions Were Destroyed (2022):** In 2022, I sought compensation for losses incurred after my worldly possessions were destroyed, further highlighting the intricacies of property claims.
13. **Detriments at AFCA (2018-23):** Over a span of several years (2018-2023), I faced significant detriments totaling over 2 million dollars after being banned from AFCA (Australian Financial Complaints Authority), underscoring the complexities of financial disputes.
14. **Insurance Settlement at AHRC (2022):** In 2022, I encountered detriments exceeding 1.5 million dollars after an insurance settlement was redirected to AHRC (Australian Human Rights Commission), shedding light on challenges within the human rights sphere.
15. **Child Sexual Abuse Redress from DSS (2022):** I pursued a child sexual abuse redress claim from the Department of Social Services (DSS) in 2022, facing delays, denials, and deferrals in the process.
16. **VOCAT Cases (2019, 2021):** My journey also encompassed VOCAT (Victims of Crime Assistance Tribunal) cases in 2019 and 2021, addressing issues related to child sexual abuse and violent affray, both of which faced challenges and rejections.
17. **Compensation for Various Incidents:** I actively sought compensation for a series of incidents, including being run over by a car, violently attacked inside a hospital, involvement in a conspiracy to pervert the course of justice causing death, and the subsequent whitewashing of that tragedy by high-ranking public officials who have a responsibility to act ethically under the Public Service Act.

Throughout these diverse endeavors, one common thread emerges—the absence of legal representation and the persistence of pre-determined outcomes that have made it increasingly difficult for me to attain the justice and compensation that my circumstances

warrant. These challenges underscore the uphill battle I've faced in my pursuit of fairness and restitution.

As I reflect upon this extensive list of pursuits for justice and compensation, it becomes increasingly evident that the repeated failures and pre-determined outcomes cannot simply be attributed to pure bad luck or a series of unrelated incidents. Instead, it points to a more ominous and deliberate pattern that has left me continually denied justice.

It is apparent that I have managed to unsettle someone or some powerful entity within the government, and as a consequence, a verdict has been cast upon me: I am to be blacklisted, forever barred from achieving the justice and restitution that should rightfully be mine. This systematic denial of my rights and the refusal to acknowledge the validity of my claims cannot be dismissed as mere coincidence.

While it remains speculative, two individuals emerge as potential architects of this predicament—Stefan Iasonidis and Russell Ball. Despite my efforts, both of these figures have remained conspicuously silent over the years, despite my YouTube videos and online posts documenting my plight. This leads me to the reasonable conclusion that they are likely the primary forces behind my ongoing struggles, orchestrating a campaign that has systematically robbed me, both politically and systemically.

What compounds the distressing reality is the consistent lack of intervention or validation from those I encounter. It seems that silence has become synonymous with complicity, and I am perpetually wounded by the refusal of others to acknowledge my plight or to intervene

on my behalf. This persistent gaslighting and the overwhelming sense of being non-acknowledged by the world further exacerbates my suffering.

In my unwavering pursuit of justice, I continue to assert that silence in the face of such injustice is itself an injustice, and I persist in my hope that someday, someone will stand alongside me, validate my claims, and work towards the justice and restitution I have tirelessly sought.

In addition to the challenges I've faced in pursuing justice and compensation, I must also highlight a significant incident that further underscores the systemic difficulties I've encountered. At the Australian Human Rights Commission (AHRC), a decision was made whereby a financial conciliation process was inexplicably redirected to the opposing side, Australian Super. This decision, which was supposed to be impartial and fair, ended up costing me over a million dollars in losses.

What makes this incident particularly troubling is that I have reason to believe that the government played a role in this outcome. When I attempted to address the issue directly with Australian Super, they ultimately settled for \$50,000, a fraction of the losses I incurred. This stark difference in settlement amounts strongly suggests government interference in the process.

To seek resolution and compensation for these injustices, I applied to the Department of Finance for assistance regarding the AHRC and AFCA issues. However, I was met with another setback. In the CDDC (Compensation for Detriment caused by Defective Administration) scheme, it was stated that I would never receive any compensation from the

Department of Finance, despite the scheme's purpose of providing restitution for detriment suffered as a result of administrative errors by statutory authorities.

Furthermore, I applied for a non-gratia payment from the federal government in light of the injustices I've faced. Regrettably, this application was also rejected. It has become increasingly evident that the government is not only aware of who I am and what I am protesting but is also actively refusing any form of financial assistance or compensation. This stark refusal to provide any form of redress further highlights the systemic persecution I have endured at the hands of government agencies.

These experiences serve as a chilling reminder of the challenges I've faced in my quest for justice and the extent to which government interference has impacted my pursuit of fairness and restitution.

I am an individual with a clean criminal record. I fear that this may change as individuals attempt to silence me. My relationship with law enforcement has always been strained. They are well-informed about my past engagement to Stefan Iasonidis, my past suicide attempt due to oppression, and my belief that the hospital owed me a duty of care. I also maintain that a settlement is long overdue for the cognitive brain impairment I now suffer from, affecting my memory.

In 2010, I reached out to the police to report an incident in which I believed I was drugged and raped by Mr. Iasonidis. Regrettably, they refused to take the report and mentioned that I needed to come in. They also implied they could use the mental health act to institutionalize me. Given my prior experiences of detention and the police forcibly entering my residence, I

am concerned that I have been unjustly detained as a political prisoner. Additionally, the police are aware of other financial setbacks I've faced, but they consistently attribute my problems solely to my mental health, neglecting to investigate other contributing factors.

After my hospitalization, I visited the police station multiple times to report victimization and various crimes against me. Unfortunately, each time, the police officer on duty refused to investigate my claims. It's important to note that I had a regrettable consensual sexual experience at a local police event when I was around twenty years old. This event was written about in an autobiography that gained significant attention. I've wondered if this incident, and the subsequent negative reactions from friends and family, influenced the way the police have treated me over the years.

In their interactions with me, law enforcement consistently focuses on my mental illness, often neglecting to address any other aspects of my situation. They have even been inside my home, and despite my pleas for assistance, they have repeatedly refused to help. This history has eroded my trust in the police. It is evident that if a state or national government system, such as law enforcement, can persistently ignore and neglect my pleas for justice, it raises concerns of stigma, discrimination, and differential treatment.

I once brought a comprehensive essay detailing the abuse I've endured and my current circumstances to the police station. I also provided a USB drive with evidence to support my claims. However, the police officer on duty threatened to detain me, so I left. On my way home, feeling furious and frightened due to their prior violent interactions, the officer called me and stated that I had forgotten my USB drive, describing it as a high-quality one. They suggested I return to retrieve it.

## The police are over and over abusing my human rights and civil liberties.

- **Refusal to Accept a Report:** When the individual attempted to report a serious crime, specifically being drugged and raped, the police refused to accept the report. This may be seen as a breach of the individual's right to access justice and have their claims properly investigated.
- **Threat of Detention:** The police reportedly threatened to detain the individual under the mental health act when they attempted to report a crime. This could be viewed as an abuse of power and a violation of the individual's right to personal security and freedom from arbitrary detention.
- **Inadequate Investigation:** Despite the individual's efforts to report victimization and other crimes, the police refused to investigate these claims. This may be perceived as a breach of the individual's right to access justice and have law enforcement agencies fulfill their duty to investigate crimes.
- **Invasion of Privacy:** The police allegedly entered the individual's home without their consent. This could be a breach of the individual's right to privacy, as individuals have the right to be secure in their homes.
- **Discrimination:** The individual suspects that they have been treated unfairly due to their sexual orientation and past experiences. Discrimination based on sexual orientation is a violation of human rights and civil liberties.
- **Threats and Intimidation:** The police officer reportedly threatened the individual, which could be perceived as an attempt to intimidate or silence them. Such actions may infringe upon the individual's right to freedom of expression and personal security.

- **Refusal to Address Health Needs:** The individual claims to suffer from cognitive brain impairment due to past experiences and seeks a settlement. Denial of access to healthcare and a fair settlement for medical issues could be seen as a breach of the right to health and justice.

It's important to note that these potential breaches would need to be thoroughly investigated and verified through a legal process to determine their validity. However, the concerns raised in the text highlight the importance of upholding human rights and civil liberties and ensuring that individuals are treated fairly and with respect by law enforcement and other authorities.

Intentionally removing me, an already marginalized individual, from any prospects of prosperity is a grave moral wrong. Such actions perpetuate inequality and further oppress those who are already at a disadvantage in society. When a government systematically and politically redacts my prosperity, it not only raises concerns of a conspiracy but should also be considered a matter that warrants the attention of the high court.

It is fundamentally unjust to strip me of my financial stability, push me into homelessness or precarious living situations, and then, when I rightfully demand attention to address the injustices I've suffered, solely attribute my problems to my illness. In my case, the root cause of my distress is financial, and I have been denied the opportunity for compensation or redress for the wrongs committed against me.

The police and the government are often aware of the underlying vulnerabilities I face due to my mental illness, and their responsibility should extend to protecting and assisting me

rather than vilifying and incarcerating me. It is deeply insulting when I am locked away or threatened with detention, especially when it is the government's actions that have led to my financial ruin and emotional distress.

In my specific case, the police's failure to respond to threats and acts of violence, such as those from Stefan Iasonidis, further compounds my suffering. The fact that they are aware of the threats and have not taken appropriate action not only endangers me but also erodes my trust in law enforcement. This pattern of behavior by the police not only elongates my suffering but also perpetuates family violence and coercive financial control, creating a cycle of abuse that must be addressed and rectified.

In summary, it is ethically unacceptable to intentionally strip me, a marginalized individual, of my prosperity, and it is a moral imperative for the government and legal institutions to address systemic injustices. To dismiss my plight by attributing my issues solely to my illness is unjust and avoids addressing the true underlying problems, which are often rooted in systemic inequalities and governmental actions. Law enforcement agencies should prioritize protecting vulnerable individuals and victims of violence rather than exacerbating their suffering.

I have experienced significant and far-reaching detriments amounting to over a million dollars during my interactions with the Australian Human Rights Commission (AHRC). To provide a comprehensive overview of these injustices, it is important to detail the following sequence of events:



**Conciliation with Australian Super and TAL:** Following the denial of my insurance claims for Total and Permanent Disability (TPD) and income protection by Australian Super and TAL, I initiated a conciliation process with these entities. They agreed to participate in this process, and a date for the conciliation was set.

**AHRC Involvement:** The AHRC was involved in overseeing this conciliation process, and Liz Lindsberg, representing the AHRC, was responsible for liaising with me throughout this period.

**Unexpected Turn of Events:** A pivotal moment occurred when Liz Lindsberg informed me that the AHRC no longer wanted to participate in the voluntary process, despite the prior agreement. This announcement came as a shock, as it directly contradicted the prior commitment to the conciliation process.

**Allegations of Corruption and Injustice:** In response to this unexpected decision, I expressed my dismay and frustration to Liz Lindsberg. I conveyed my belief that this reversal was neither impartial nor fair, and I accused her of corruption and suggested that she would face legal consequences

**Suspicion of Government Influence:** At this point, I became suspicious that government influence may have played a role in the AHRC's decision to withdraw from the conciliation process. I believed that my pursuit of justice and my claims against various agencies had drawn the attention of governmental entities, potentially influencing the AHRC's actions

**Subsequent Developments:** Subsequently, after the government was no longer involved in the matter, I approached TAL directly, and they readily agreed to a settlement. This outcome appeared to validate my suspicion that the AHRC's initial decision was influenced by external factors.

**AHRC Refusal to Investigate Human Rights Abuses:** Over time, I have repeatedly sought AHRC's assistance in investigating human rights abuses, particularly concerning my experiences with Free Living Australia and their failure to address my victimization, oppression, and persecution. Remarkably, the AHRC refused to investigate this documented human rights abuse.

**Persistent Pattern of Pre-Determined Decisions:** Reflecting on my experiences with the AHRC, I have come to the conclusion that these decisions related to my prosperity, rights, and access to justice were pre-determined and inherently biased. This pattern of pre-determined decisions extends beyond the AHRC to other systemic agencies, including AFCA and the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT).

**Efforts to Address Corruption:** I have taken various measures to address this apparent corruption, including writing to Michaelia Cash, the then Attorney General, to voice my concerns about the treatment I received from the AHRC and other agencies. Despite my efforts to call out systemic corruption and unfairness, my predictions of unfavorable outcomes have often proved true.

**Violation of Human Rights Charter:** It is crucial to emphasize that these decisions appear to be in direct violation of the Charter of Human Rights of a Person with a Disability, which

dictates that individuals in my situation must have equality before the law and access to it. This charter was ratified by the government in 2008 but has not been consistently upheld.

In summary, my experiences with the AHRC and other government agencies have led me to believe that many decisions regarding my prosperity, rights, and access to justice have been pre-determined and influenced by external factors, potentially including government intervention. These patterns of behavior appear to be in direct contravention of principles of fairness, impartiality, and human rights.

### How can an individual or Government destroy a scapegoat?

I will now detail all the ways I have been targeted over years with the list of methods the chat GDP cited as methods to destroy a scapegoat. sadly these have all come to pass in my life in an extraordinary list of examples that no one individual should have to tolerate.

### Character Assassination:

Attacking my reputation, credibility, and character through spreading false rumors, misinformation, or propaganda. This can be done through media, social networks, or public statements.

- Allen and Unwin exploited me for my autobiography 'Recovered, Not Cured'

- In this they let my own words sabotage my reputation and I believe set me up with public interviews so that I would become known yet secretly hated,
- Herald Sun vilification of my book and I leading to my job loss at the age,
- My illness identified at the Dax Collection and my art tokenistically treated,
- At one stage the media came to my home and interviewed me for TV when I was high and unwell,
- RRR FM interviewed me at a time when I was clearly not coping with Richard Watts,
- The drug debate on SBS asked live on air if I do ecstasy even though I said I wanted to talk about marijuana and psychosis
- That I was used as a pawn at the cannabis and mental health forum and paraded as a mad person from the use of drugs
- 

#### Isolation:

Cutting off the scapegoat from social networks, allies, or support systems, making them vulnerable and easier to target. This can involve social ostracism, shunning, or discrediting their relationships.

- Banned from AFCA
- Rejected at AHRC

- Ignored fromMHLC
- Ignored at VMIAC
- Ignored at DDLC
- Ignored by all lawyers
- My family intercepted
- Example my brother saying 'I don't know if he's gay' when prompted disparaging tone,
- Facebook, LinkedIn, Twitter accounts disabled,
- My so called friends in Queensland ganging upon me,
- A magistrate throwing out my VOCAT case for child sexual abuse,
- My VOCAT case for a violent affray rejected and identifying me as principal aggressor,
- After Steve left he denied a settlement and set people against me,
- I was given \$100000 by Mark Eng former landlord and then demanded to give it back,
- They did this regarding my mental health advocacy work threatening to ruin my reputation because the owner was said to have been unwell,
- My police LEAP file detailing crimes I'd never heard of from places never travelled,
- Maliciously destroying my website [www.richmclean.com.au](http://www.richmclean.com.au) by Micron21

- Silencing my evidence at HCC,MHCC, The Police, IBAC, The Victorian Inspectorate, AHPRA, NHPOPC, and the ombudsman,
- Rejected income assist and AVO at HCF
- Rejected help from Victoria Legal Aid
- Rejected by family
- My issues ignore by police
- Cover up about the suicide attempt
- Silencing of my evidence of a malpractice case
- Rejection of my tragic suicide attempt at ombudsman
- Rejection from medical clinics
- Rejected by all of my friends
- Reject by my family
- Non validation by all government agencies of my relationship with Steve Iasonidis
- The silencing of my evidence before a government tribunal,

Gaslighting:

Manipulating the victim into doubting their own perceptions, sanity, or experiences. This can lead the scapegoat to question their own reality and become more dependent on the perpetrator for validation.

- Audio oppression and harassment
- Psychometric profiling of my name mobile number and date of birth by government agencies
- Friends and families voices inside my bedroom with persecution and hate in their voice
- Going way back the references on TV about personal issues
- A consistent themes of listening to lyrics in songs that have personal significance
- Non validation of my relationship with ASIO agent Steve Iasonidis
- Exploring things in me that were vulnerable to me
- Friends giving me drugs which would cause distress and psychosis
- Setting me up to fail at government agencies
- Gang stalking me through shopping centres
- Kicking me out of England,
- 

Legal Persecution:

Initiating legal actions, such as frivolous lawsuits, arrests, or investigations, against the scapegoat. These actions may be based on fabricated or exaggerated charges to undermine their credibility and freedom.

- Never being able to get a lawyer
- John Boyle deceiving me
- Russell Ball blacklisting me from any legal help
- Being banned from calling work safe
- Being banned from calling ComCare
- Being ran out of town as an innocent fugitive by Victoria police
- Getting put on a mental health treatment order
- Being force injected with anti psychotics for 'ingrained delusions of persecution' which were real
- Being surveilled outside my home in Footscray
- Being framed as an extortionist by Russell ball
- Being rejected my VOCAT case for child sexual abuse as 'doomed'
- Being intercepted and followed across scruff and Grindr with people warning me 'they're there, can't say anything'



- My computer intercepted and passwords changed
- My phone stolen
- Sending people to have sex with me as a pre arranged infiltration
- Identifying my friends from who I call and turn them against me
- Steve knowing how I communicate on my private phone with him telling me he can hack an apple with a 'skin' and I am perplexed by how he knows this
- My phone being stolen,
- People on drugs who have ulterior motives sent to intimidate exploit rip off or antagonise me,

### Financial Ruin:

Sabotaging the scapegoat's financial stability, assets, or livelihood through legal or economic means. This can include freezing bank accounts, seizing assets, or cutting off their sources of income.

- Sabotage of my HCF income assist insurance payment
- Sabotage of my workers compensation in 2004
- Sabotage of my hospital settlement for brain injury

- Sabotage of being heard fairly at AFCA then banned
- Sabotage of my conciliation settlement at AHRC with Australian Super and TAL
- Sabotage of my website [www.richmclean.com.au](http://www.richmclean.com.au) by Micron 21 government linked company
- Sabotage of getting justice about that at telecommunications industry ombudsman
- Sabotage of getting justice for that at SBFEO
- Sabotage of getting justice at [business.gov.au](http://business.gov.au)

### Harassment and Intimidation:

Engaging in campaigns of harassment, intimidation, or threats to create fear and psychological distress. This can include online harassment, stalking, or sending threatening messages.

- Grindr - Steve
- Grindr and Scruff - messages
- Voices outside my home in footscray
- V2K in my homes when computer is on
- Friend who are criminals coming to harass me

- Being attacked in Footscray
- Being violently attacked by an underworld thug in hospital
- Being run over by a car whilst escaping police by the secret service
- Voices in my hostel lamenting about me being a rapist

### Surveillance:

Monitoring the scapegoat's activities, communications, and movements to gather information that can be used against them or to control their behavior.

- On Grindr and scruff
- In the street
- Gangstalking me
- Monitoring my computer
- Wifi ;'secret hospital records'
- Hacking my phone
- Exposing all my passwords in targeted data hack

- A computer technician who came over and I think places monitoring in my computer, broke it then left without being paid

### Institutional Complicity:

Manipulating or coercing institutions, such as law enforcement, legal systems, or government agencies, to support the persecution or cover up wrongdoing. This can involve corrupt officials or a culture of silence.

Anthony Albanese, The Age, The Herald Sun, VHREOCV, HCC, MHCC, The Police, IBAC, Victorian Inspectorate, AHPRA, NHPOPC, The ombudsman, AFCA, AHRC, ComCare, AAT, Attorney general's office, work safe, OPMC, OAIC, FOI, Police FOI, mark Dreyfus, Michaelia Cash, SANE Australia, MHCC, MHLC, DDLS, Australian Super, TAL, ACCC, SBFEO, The telecommunications industry ombudsman, business.gov.au, ASIC, APRA, OPC, The Tax Office, Centrelink, Weribee mercy Hospital, Salt Water Clinic, the NDIS, The NDIA, NDIS quality and safeguards commission, AED, legal Aid, Insurance ombudsman, Health Minister Greg Hunt, current health minister, mentalHealth Minister Gabrielle Williams,

### Social Marginalization:

Exploiting societal prejudices or biases to marginalize the scapegoat based on their identity, beliefs, or affiliations. This can lead to exclusion, discrimination, or violence.

- Yes! This happened I was labelled a 'poofter' and police were in on it
- I've self identified confidently with schizophrenia, now vilified for it
- I'm prejudiced against for having no job at the moment
- I have been identified as someone who complains a lot now ignored
- I'm either too 'mad' or not 'mad enough' depending on who has the money
- Ive been labelled with schizophrenia because it is mentioned historically

### Physical Harm:

Using physical violence or threats of violence to harm the scapegoat physically, emotionally, or psychologically. This is an extreme form of persecution.

- Violently attacked in Footscray
- Violently attacked inside Weribee mercy hospital
- Run over by a car whilst on the run from police
- Cuffed and manhandled by police and thrown in divvy van
- Police violently breaking in to my home

- Violent criminal kicking in my garage wall and entering my home
- Tim Logan coming over making trouble him wanting me to hit him - throwing him out
- Police threatening me with a gun and capsicum spray
- Crystal sustaining an injury as I went across to the shops and had to take her to the vet
- I was drugged and raped by Iasonidis
- My whole homes contents were destroyed and taken to the tip
- I had been sexualised as a child

### Silencing Dissent:

Suppressing dissenting voices or whistleblowers who may expose wrongdoing. This ensures that the scapegoat's claims are not heard or validated.

- They have rejected all my PIDs
- They destroyed my website
- Not one government agency will acknowledge past relationship
- Not being validate by any lawyer

- Not being validate by any politician
- Not having systemic crimes being able to be reported to police
- Being homes with zero intervention

### Propaganda and Disinformation:

Controlling the narrative through propaganda, censorship, or control of media outlets to shape public perception and manipulate information in favor of the persecutor.

- They allowed me drugs because it was destroying me
- They silenced twitter Facebook and linked in accounts
- They delated my website [www.richmclean.com.au](http://www.richmclean.com.au)
- They deleted the whistleblowing page even on historical web
- I used to think that narrative on Australian made shows were referencing me
- I though bands wrote songs about me such as cruel sea, midnight oil, Justin Townes Earle, powder finger, silver chair, Paul Kelly
- I can't speak to the ombudsman
- Banned from contacting HCF

- AVO on me by HCG
- AVO on me from mum and Dad
- Have not been able to have authentic conversation with police
- Can't report being drugged and raped by Steve Iasonidis to federal police
- My suicide attempt has been whitewashed
- I am vilified as mentally ill when in actual fact its stress from being broke
- My poverty is designed

### Legal Barriers:

Implementing legal barriers that make it difficult for the scapegoat to seek justice, such as restrictive laws or policies that limit their ability to defend themselves or access legal remedies.

- I have never ever had a lawyer
- John Boyle the one I did have exploited me and deceived me in order to cause harm
- Russell Ball acted with great power to silence me
- Monash legal centre at first took on case with Steve then dumped me



- TimGos from AFCA was a lawyer he well knew what he was doing was wrong
- Kate watch government lawyer acting to destroy me with impunity
- Member Purnell in a pantomime hearing that was pre determined to fail

### Targeting Vulnerable Groups:

Persecuting not only the scapegoat but also individuals or groups associated with them, further isolating and weakening their support network.

### In conclusion

In conclusion, I admit I've unintentionally or intentionally hurt people that hurt me. I intended it that way because they hurt me bad. Ive been deceptive in a sometimes unconscious unaware way. I make recordings of things. That's because I have an experience and mindset that is a very wide berth. I need to check if the reality that's happening to me is real, or false. I live at a high level of uncertainty being a madman and a scapegoat. What is stated to be delusion could be real. Things that are facts become non-so. Your carers become your abusers. The food does not taste good. The youth are blind. Your freedom is an illusion. The police are the criminals. Your lovers become your killers. Patriotism is an unforgivable sin the government is so corrupt. The doctors cause you illness. The politicians never represent their constituents. The ombudsman is not impartial. The conspiracy is said not to exist. The Human Rights Commission pointedly abuses your human rights. Every

lawyer is corrupt to the eyeballs. The whistleblower tells the truth and then punished. The healer gets hurt. The truth speaker and seeker gets killed.

The conspiracy to pervert the course of justice in my case can no longer be ignored. This campaign of cruelty, unfairness, and unreasonableness has persisted for far too long. It is unreasonable to expect that any single person could endure such an onslaught of negative energy without dire consequences. Tragically, it culminated in a suicide attempt, yet even after this devastating event, the malicious victimization intensified. It seems the intention is not only to harm me but to ultimately take my life.

If I were to succumb to this ongoing abuse, it would provide some acknowledgment from beyond the grave, and those responsible would be held accountable by the coroner. However, the current situation is one where everyone involved refuses to admit any wrongdoing, excusing themselves from all liability for their lies, malice, and manipulation of the system to exonerate themselves.

I implore any public office or individual not to be afraid of standing up for me, the underdog. Doing so is an act of bravery and courage. Many public officials enjoy positions of privilege, luxury, and comfort. It is not courageous to further abuse a marginalized person when they are already so helpless. Instead, extending a lifeline and standing up for justice is the true mark of bravery and compassion. This story must not be allowed to continue on its current trajectory, as it poses an immediate risk to my welfare, my health, my safety, and my life.

Dr Rich Mclean continues to try and live in a world that has universally forsaken him. As of 30.09.2023 he has no money, and is homeless with no where to go. He still can't get a lawyer, can't go to police and is still a rejected whistleblower. His close family have abandoned him as the menacing conspiracy has intentionally infiltrated his most confidential inner circles of support.

If you have the prosperity and ability to help Rich and would like to, for his immediate relief apYID him on =number 0451804410. Remember to emailhimon

[richarddrawsstuff@gmail.com](mailto:richarddrawsstuff@gmail.com) he would like to thank you and repay you if and when he can should he survive and see justice.

Alternately you can deposit into his bank account:

Name: Richard Mclean,

Bank: Commonwealth Bank,

BSB: 063307,

Account: 10140384

Evidence proving the contact of this essay can be seen and downloaded on his new whistleblowing website:

[www.imustbecrazy.com.au](http://www.imustbecrazy.com.au)

His PhD is summarised as : ‘Madness in individuals is rare but in society it is the norm’

“When wealth is passed off as merit, bad luck is seen as bad character. This is how ideologues justify punishing the sick and the poor. But poverty is neither a crime nor a character flaw. Stigmatise those who let people die, not those who struggle to live.”

— **Sarah Kendzior**